

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/931,513	08/16/2001	Keith G. Copeland	97,008-W	5062
20306 75	590 12/11/2003 12		EXAMINER	
	L BOEHNEN HULBER	· ALEXANDER, LYLE		
300 SOUTH W SUITE 3200	ACKER DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL	. 60606		1743	
			DATE MAILED: 12/11/2003	13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Alo 13				
•	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	<u></u>				
	09/931,51	3	COPELAND ET A	PELAND ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	Lyle A Ale		1743					
The MAILING DATE of this communication for Reply	cation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <u>22 Se<i>ptember</i> 2</u>	<u>°003</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2I	b)∐ This action is no	n-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 72,77,80-85,87,89-91,98 and	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>72,77,80-85,87,89-91,98 and 99</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) 72,77,80-85,87,89-91,98 and	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>72,77,80-85,87,89-91,98 and 99</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election re	equirement.						
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. <ol> <li>The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> </ol> </li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>								
AMaaharaa Mah								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO 413) Panas Na	(e)				
Notice of References Cited (P10-392)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P13)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449) Pa		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/931,513

Art Unit: 1743

The referenced cited by applicants in the IDS and listed on the numerous 1449's have been made of record. While the statements filed clearly do not comply with the guidelines set forth in MPEP 2004 regarding both the number of references cited and the elimination of clearly irrelevant art and marginally cumulative information, compliance with these guidelines is not mandatory. Furthermore, 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98 dose not require that the information be material, rather they allow for submission of information regardless of its pertinence to the claimed invention. Also, there is no requirement to explain the materiality of the submitted references, however, the cloaking of a clearly relevant reference by inclusion in a long list of citations may not comply with Applicant's duty of disclosure, see Penn Yan Boats, inc. V. Sea Lark boats Inc., 359 F. Supp. 948, aff'd 479 F. 2d. 1338. 7.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 72, 77,80-85,87,89-91 and 98-99 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller et al. in view of Mazza et al.

Miller et al. teach a method of immunochemical staining using an evaporation inhibitor over the sample. Column 2 lines 17+ teach application of a sample to a slide and the subsequent addition of an evaporation inhibitor. This has been read on the claimed steps of dispensing a sample and reagent. The sample reagent mixture is covered by an evaporation inhibiting liquid that has been read on the claimed evaporation inhibiting liquid. Miller et al. is silent to the claimed application of at least one stream of air to the surface to facilitate mixing.

See the appropriate paragraph of paper 9 for the teachings of Swope et al.

Specifically, Mazza et al. teach it is desirable to mix a sample with a jet of air to prevent splashing of the sample that would waste sample and contaminate the lab (exemplary of this teaching is the abstract).

Application/Control Number: 09/931,513

Art Unit: 1743

It would have been within the skill of the art to modify Miller et al. in view of Mazza et al. and use an air jet to mix the sample to gain the above advantages.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 72, 77,80-85,87,89-91 and 98-99 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on 9/24/03 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE**FINAL. See MPEP § 609(B)(2)(i). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1254. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

Application/Control Number: 09/931,513

Art Unit: 1743

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 703-308-4037. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743

\*\*\*